

REMARKS

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the foregoing, no claims have been amended. Claims 1-5, 9, 11 and 15-17 are pending and under consideration.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claims 1-5, 9, 11 and 15-17 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Bradford (US 3,423,524) (hereinafter "Bradford").

Independent claims 1, 9 and 15 recite: "...wherein the received signal is from a channel receiver without an additional medium between the channel receiver and the disc drive."

The Office Action relies on Bradford to show this feature of claim 1 and specifically relies on that in figure 3 of Bradford the video signal with sync pulses, element 44', is sent directly to the recording means, element 16.

The above-noted rejections and statement are respectfully traversed. Bradford discusses and shows in Figures 1-4, that the driving shaft 13 of motor 12 bears in addition an optical tachometer disc 14, which meters the progression of the turntable 10. The disc 14 is transparent and comprises a plate bearing along its periphery contrast producing lines 15. The rate of angularly spanning markers 15 and the total number of markers around the periphery of the disc 14 are the same as the horizontal synch pulse recordings on disc 11.

Accordingly, as Bradford includes disc 14 acting as a medium "representative of the horizontal synch pulse" (See Bradford, 3:74-4:2), it is respectfully submitted that the technical feature of claims 1, 9 and 15 where the received signal is from a channel receiver without an additional medium between the channel receiver and the disc drive is not anticipated by Bradford.

In the conventional art, such as Bradford, a medium is additionally connected between the channel receiver and the disc drive so as to record the signal outputted from the channel receiver on the disc loaded in the disc drive. The medium interfaces the transmission speed of the signal outputted from the channel receiver with the recording speed of the disc drive.

However, since the medium must be additionally connected between the channel receiver and the disc drive, an environment setting task becomes complicated. By contrast, in the present invention as recited in claims 1, 9 and 15, additional tasks required to provide the

medium are not necessary, and transmission errors such as a buffer underrun can be prevented from occurring.

Claims 2-5, 11, 16 and 17 depend on one of claims 1, 9 and 15, respectively, and are therefore believed to be allowable for at least the foregoing reasons.

Withdrawal of the foregoing rejection is requested.

CONCLUSION

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: June 16, 2008

By: Gregory W. Harper
Gregory W. Harper
Registration No. 55,248

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501